

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

2
3

5

6
7
8
9

11	
12	

13
14
15

16
17
18

19
20
21

22

23

1 Thus, the Office cites Toki for the recognized deficiencies of Florin.
2 Applicant respectfully disagrees that Toki discloses “displaying the display screen
3 on a television via a web browser” as the Office contends (*Office Action* p.2).
4 Further, Toki does not teach or suggest that a television channel is displayed on a
5 television through a web browser program. Claim 1 describes that multiple
6 television channels are displayed as small display screens as part of a primary
7 display screen that is displayed on a television through a web browser program.

8 Toki simply describes that a web page corresponding to a URL is displayed
9 on a computer display (*Toki* col. 6, lines 56-59). The apparent novelty of Toki is
10 that the URL can be selected with a channel selection remote-controller. Toki
11 actually teaches away from the present application in that Toki only describes
12 displaying Internet information (i.e., a web page) on a display unit. This is in
13 opposite to displaying television through a web browser program, as recited in the
14 independent claims of the present application. For example, Toki describes that
15 Web page data of a selected URL is read from a Web page database and displayed
16 on the display unit (*Toki* col. 19, lines 38-42). This is contrary to displaying the
17 primary display screen of selected television channels on a television through a
18 web browser program, as described in claim 1.

19 Toki does not teach or suggest that television channels are displayed on a
20 television through a web browser program, as recited in claim 1. Accordingly,
21 claim 1 is allowable over the Florin-Toki combination and Applicant respectfully
22 requests that the §103 rejection be withdrawn.

23
24 In addition to claim 1, independent claims 20, 26, 29, 33, 34, 35, and 36 are
25 also allowable over the Florin-Toki combination for the reasons described above.

1 Furthermore, the Florin-Toki combination does not teach or suggest the
2 combination of elements recited in independent claims 1, 20, 26, 29, and 33-36.
3 Accordingly, the §103 rejection should be withdrawn.
4

5 Claims 2-5 and 7-19 are allowable by virtue of their dependency upon
6 claim 1 (either directly or indirectly).

7 Claims 21-25 are allowable by virtue of their dependency upon claim 20
8 (either directly or indirectly).

9 Claims 27-28 are allowable by virtue of their dependency upon claim 26.

10 Claims 31-32 are allowable by virtue of their dependency upon claim 29.
11

12 **Conclusion**

13 Pending claims 1-5, 7-29, and 31-36 are in condition for allowance.
14 Applicant respectfully requests issuance of the subject application. If any issues
15 remain that prevent issuance of this application, the Examiner is urged to contact
16 the undersigned attorney before issuing a subsequent Action.
17

18 Respectfully Submitted,

19
20 Dated: Feb 3, 2003

By: 

David A. Morasch
Reg. No. 42,905
(509) 324-9256 x 210